RG104 E-1 Box 149

Treasury Department,

FIRST COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE,

Washington, D. C., November 29, 1887

Dan.M.Fox,Esq.,

Superintendent of the Mint,Philadelphia,Pa.

Sir:

I am in receipt of your letter of yesterday,in regard to the disallowance of $15 by this office in the bill rendered by Dr. Thomas G.Morton for professional services to three of your employes. The question was presented to me a few days ago. I made an examination,and found that I had no authority to allow such a charge. I learned,on inquiry at the office of the Director of the Mint here in the Treasury,from the gentleman having that branch of your accounts in charge,that after an experience of eight years he had not known of a similar bill being presented;so that I was guided not only by law but by precedent in giving my opinion. I learn that,perhaps,twenty years ago,on the occasion of an explosion,or something of that nature,an account for medical services was allowed;but such cases as the one now presented are of frequent occurrence in one department or another,and no bill is presented. I am constrained,therefore,to adhere to my original opinion that the bill cannot be allowed.

Very respectfully,

M. F. Bowman

Comptroller.

NARA RG104, Entry 1, Box 149

Treasury Department,
First Comptroller's Office,
Washington, D.C.,
November 29, 1887

Dan. M. Fox, Esq.,
Superintendent of the Mint, Philadelphia, Pa.

Sir:

I am in receipt of your letter of yesterday, in regard to the disallowance of $15 by this office in the bill rendered by Dr. Thomas G. Morton for professional services to three of your employees. The question was presented to me a few days ago. I made an examination, and found that I had no authority to allow such a charge. I learned, on inquiry at the office of the Director of the Mint here in the Treasury, from the gentlemen having that branch of your accounts in charge, that after an experience of eight years he had not known of a similar bill being presented: so that I was guided not only by law but by precedent in giving my opinion. I learn that, perhaps, twenty years ago, on the occasion of an explosion, or something of that nature, an account for medical services was allowed; but such cases as the one now presented are of frequent occurrence in one department or another, and no bill is presented. I am constrained, therefore, to adhere to my original opinion that the bill cannot be allowed.

Very respectfully,
M.J. Durham
Comptroller.